Pupil Premium Strategy Statement: St. Luke's Catholic Primary 2020/2021

1. Summary information					
School	St Luke's C	atholic Primary School			
Academic Year	2020/2021	Total PP budget	£46,315	Date of most recent PP Review	October 2020
Total number of pupils	152	Number of pupils eligible for PP	38	Date for next internal review of this strategy	March 2021

2.	3. Current attainment (Ba	ased on ASP 2019)		
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school) 2019	Y6 2020 Internal Data Predictions	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% achieving ARE or ab maths	oove in reading, writing &	27%	71%	65%
% achieving higher sta & maths	indard in reading, writing	0%	29%	10%
% achieving ARE in rea	ading	45%	86% (71% higher)	73%
Average scaled score i	in reading	101	N/A	104
% achieving ARE in wr	iting	36%	86% (29% higher)	79%
% achieving ARE in ma	athematics	45%	71% (29% higher)	79%
Average scaled score i	in mathematics	99	N/A	105
% Key stage 1 -2 prog	ress in reading	0.4	N/A	0.3
% Key Stage 1-2 progr	ress in writing	-3.4	N/A	0.3

% Key	v Stage 1-2 prog	ress in maths	-0.9		N/A	0.4
4.		5. Barriers to future atta	inment (for pupils eligible	for P	'P)	
		In-school barriers (issues	to be addressed in school,	such a	as poor oral language skills)	
Α.	Large number of	of SEND children within group	o of PPG children (18.5% s	chool	SEND)	
В.	Writing attainme	ent throughout the school is a	an issue for PPG children			
C.	C. Small numbers of pupil premium children within individual classes- risks of being overlooked					
D.	Higher levels of speech and language difficulties					
	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)					
E.	E. Social and emotional difficulties in some cases					
F.	F. Extra anxiety regarding the Covid situation and further family deprivation (Special Catch-up Funding to be in place alongside PPG Funding)					
6. Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured) Success criteria						
A. Higher prior attaining pupil premium children achieve highly against their age-related expectations. HA PPG children (as identified in Sept by data provided) will make more than expe will be working at greater depth in each y year.			expected progress and they			
В.				• •		
C.	Vulnerable children are identified early, so differences do not we through the school.				children in EYFS achieve ar idual starting points in line w	1 0
D.		children and families access SEND or social/emotional/b	•	socia	children with SEND and/or al/emotional/behavioural nee s or better.	ds progress in line with

E.	Disadvantaged pupils have access to a wide range of experiences in	PPG children can draw from a range of experiences,
	order to develop cultural capital	developing vocabulary and cultural capital.

7. Planned exp	7. Planned expenditure							
Academ	nic year	2020/2021						
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies i. Quality of teaching for all								
Desired outcome	Chosen a approacl		What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?		

PPG children achieve their age- related expectations, and	Strong Leade optimised de of staff.	ployment <u>http://www.suttontrust.com/wp-</u> content/uploads/2011/09/2teachers-impact-rep	book scrutinies, in-	Executive Head Teacher	Weekly monitoring Termly data
progress in line with all pupils nationally.	 Quality First whereby TAs replace the to but enhance and ensuring teachers do r their support to the pupils 	a do notespecially significant for pupils from disadvantageeacher,over a school year, these pupils gain 1.5 years' welearning,with very effective teachers, compared with 0.5 gethatgethatperforming teachers. In other words, for poor pupilsnot reducebetween a good teacher and a bad teacher is a wet or inputlearning.	ged backgrounds:learning walks. We will see what barriers these children may have along with discussions with class teachers and parents and make sure that these are	SENDCo Head of School Inclusion Governor	checks
	 by TAs. Staff training Quality First ⁻ PPG forming teacher appr where appro 	Teaching The best leaders ensure that additional adult sup quality. Every effort is made to ensure that pupi pupil premium have access to the best teachers by skilled and well-trained additional adults. The raisal that the work of additional adults is closely mon	best ways to use w their own staff'."Pupil voice will be used to assess changes.pport is of high ls eligible for the and are supported ese schools ensurePupil voice will be used to assess changes.		

 Ensure that all day-to day teaching meets the needs of each learner, rather than relying on interventions to compensate for teaching that is less than good Purchase of high- quality homework resources. Ensure language rich curriculum and environment Development of broad and balanced curriculum to ensure high cultural capital within school. 	 http://www.literacytrust.org.uk/assets/0002/6752/EEF_Toolkit_pd f_version.pdf The Sutton Trust Toolkit states that: Overall, research shows that students in a class with a teaching assistant present do not on average outperform those in one where only a teacher is present. This average finding covers a range of recorded impacts; in some cases teachers and teaching assistants have worked together effectively leading to increases in attainment, while in others pupils (particularly those who are lower attaining) have performed worse in classes with teaching assistants present compared to those without. 	Achievement data frequently analysed to check whether interventions or techniques are working and made adjustments accordingly. Pupil progress meetings termly to identify children who are at risk to ensure timely and effective intervention	
--	---	--	--

Total budgeted cost £12,500

£3440.00 2 teachers 2 hours per week @ £80 per session x 43 weeks
£5482.50 – TA 15 hours per week @ £8.50 ph x 43 weeks
New homework resources @ £252
Staff training – £1000
Reading Resources @ £750
Espresso: £1220.94
My Maths annual subscription: £265.00
Classroom resources: £1000

ii. Targeted support	ii. Targeted support						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementati on?		
Pupils and families of disadvantaged pupils are supported with any learning/social/ behavioural needs.	Outside agencies involved in pupils' learning and progress and Family Support. TA to provide small group work for speech and language, emotional support, lego express, social stories etc. during afternoons New full time TA for pupil premium children with additional needs.	Research: <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/resources/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional-learning/</u> On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself (four months' additional progress on average). As a school we have found that addressing these needs have resulted in calmer, well-integrated pupils who are more able to access the curriculum.	Specific monitoring of these pupils by the SENDCo. Case studies maintained. Clear progress tracked from their starting points. TAC/CAFs – full and detailed, looking at impact.	SEND Co Head Teach er Inclus ion Gover nor	Weekly monitoring Termly data checks TACs as and when necessary		

Ensure high achieving FSM/PP pupils continue to make better than expected progress in all subject areas	Targeted booster groups for higher achievers – class teacher led. Purchase of reading books including individual readers for high achieving readers. Purchase of Times Tables Rock Stars for HA achieving mathematicians.	Research – small group tuition Intensive tuition in small groups is very effective Pupils are grouped according to specific level or need Pupils' needs are accurately assessed and targeted. Rationale evidence taken from: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a- z/one-to-one-tuition/ (Gains +5 months) https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a- z/small-group-tuition/ (Gains +4 months) https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a- z/collaborative-learning/ (Gain + 5 months) https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac hment_data/file/413197/The_Pupil_Premium How_schools_are_spending_the_funding.pdf	The children who are PPG will be targeted in lesson observations, book scrutinies, in- school moderation and learning walks. We will see what barriers these children may have along with discussions with class teachers and parents and make sure that these are diminishing by the next round of assessments. Attainment to be assessed on a half termly basis. Governors' Task and Finish group to hold school accountable for PP progress and achievement	SEND Co Execu tive Head Teach er Head of Scho ol Inclus ion Gover nor	Half-termly

PPG children with SEND make accelerated progress from their starting points.	Targeted intervention groups (with the correct staff CPD and training): Lego Build to Express Emotional Literacy Dyspraxia/fine motor skills Cool Kids ELSA Speech and Language Education psychologist Beanstalk 1:1 reading tuition Precision teaching 1:1	 Research – small group tuition Intensive tuition in small groups is very effective Pupils are grouped according to specific level or need Pupils' needs are accurately assessed and targeted. Rationale evidence taken from: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-z/one-to-one-tuition/ (Gains +5 months) https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-z/small-group-tuition/ (Gains +4 months) 	Specific monitoring of these pupils by the SENDCo. Case studies maintained. Clear progress tracked from their starting points. Governors' Task and Finish group to hold school accountable for PP progress and achievement	SEND Co Inclus ion Gover nor	July 2019
---	--	---	--	---	-----------

Ensure that the large	Quality first teaching –	Evidence/Research from:	The children who are	SEND	Half termly
group of PPG children	whereby TAs do not	http://www.suttontrust.com/wp-	PPG will be targeted in	Со	
within year 6 make good	replace the teacher,	content/uploads/2011/09/2teachers-impact-report-final.pdf	lesson observations,	Execu	Monitoring
progress within the year	but enhance learning ,		book scrutinies, in-	tive	through lesson
and across the key	and ensuring that	This report states that: The effects of high-quality teaching are	school moderation and	Head	observations
stage, especially in	teachers do not reduce	especially significant for pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds:	learning walks. We will	Teach	and book
mathematics and	their support of input	over a school year, these pupils gain 1.5 years' worth of learning	see what barriers these	er	scrutinies
writing.	to the pupils supported	with very effective teachers, compared with 0.5 years with poorly	children may have along	Head	
	by TAs	performing teachers. In other words, for poor pupils the difference	with discussions with	of	
		between a good teacher and a bad teacher is a whole year's	class teachers and	Scho	
	Targeted intervention	learning.	parents and make sure	ol	
	groups led by	icuming.	that these are	Inclus	
	experienced and		diminishing by the next	ion	
	effective teachers and	Deploying staff effectively "More successful schools identify the	round of assessments.	Gover	
	teaching assistant.	strengths of each member of staff and find the best ways to use		nor	
	teaching assistant.	them. They are quick to spot potential and 'grow their own staff'."	Attainment to be	1101	
	Booster groups for all	The best leaders ensure that additional adult support is of high			
	ability children.	quality. Every effort is made to ensure that pupils eligible for the	assessed on a half termly		
	ability children.		basis.		
		pupil premium have access to the best teachers and are supported	Governors' Task and		
	Use of precision	by skilled and well-trained additional adults. These schools ensure	Finish group to hold		
	teaching for identified	that the work of additional adults is closely monitored and	school accountable for		
	children.	thoroughly evaluated. DFE	PP progress and		
	Deviality in succellarity of		achievement.		
	Bought-in small group	Descende and Hanson to itig			
	tuition for SATS	Research – small group tuition	Termly pupil progress		
		Intensive tuition in small groups is very effective	meetings used to track		
	Purchase of times	 Pupils are grouped according to specific level or need 	progress and to identify,		
	tables rock stars to	 Pupils' needs are accurately assessed and targeted. 	plan and develop precise		
	secure multiplication		intervention for PPG		
	knowledge within	Rationale evidence taken from:	children who are not		
	mathematics.		making at least good		
		https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-	progress from their		
		z/one-to-one-tuition/ (Gains +5 months)	starting point.		
		https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-			
		z/small-group-tuition/			
		(Gains +4 months)			
		https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-			
		z/collaborative-learning/ (Gain + 5 months)			
1					

	Total budgeted cost	£29,000
Costs of Outside Agencies/Family Support £tbc as academic year progresses.		
TA hours: £8.50 x 10 hours x 53 weeks = £4505		
Calm Brain subscription: £500		
New TA: £9814.15		
1 x Beanstalk Volunteer @ £540.00 per annum (as above)		
£1920.00 12 sessions – 2 teachers (£80 per session)		
£5482.50 – TA 15 hours per week @ £8.50 ph x 43 weeks		
£5482.50 – TA 15 hours per week @ £8.50 ph x 43 weeks.		
HLTA hours: 5 x 1 hour per week £10.50 x 53 = £2782.50		
RWI Spelling on-line subscription: £324.00		
RWI Spelling Resources: £400		
Clicker 7: £2300		
Small Group Tuition: £80 per session x 10		
Times Table Rock Stars: £167.90		

iii.Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review impleme ntation?

Arthog Trip: £258 per pup Other trips/visits/in-scho £750 Music programme f £900 music programme f Cost of rewards: £50 for e	ol visits by outside professi or KS1 and EYFS or year 4/5.	onals: £tbc as academic year progresses.			
Total budgeted cost					£3,500
Increased attendance rates of those PPG children	EWO funding to target the pupils where attendance is an issue and support these families in raising their attendance.	Some of our PP eligible children find attending school or being on time for school hard. Attendance at school is crucial for these children to get the support that they need through quality first teaching and targeted interventions. Subsidised breakfast school places available for families who are struggling with attendance.	Attendance rates are monitored. Every half term there will be a check on the children who are on the PA list.	EWO School Business Manager Executive Head Teacher Head of School	Every fortnight
To ensure that all children have equal access to school visits and access to all additional experiences to enhance the curriculum	To subsidise school visits/residential trips To provide additional enrichment visits/tuition, such as Music 2 the 4.	 Research – Outdoor adventure learning A wide range of adventure activities are linked with increased academic achievement Experiences of over a week tend to have a greater impact and tend to produce effects of a longer duration The main effects tend to be on self-confidence, self-efficacy and motivation. 	Attendance of trips to be monitored SLT review of curriculum and evaluate success for these pupils.	School Business Manager Executive Head Teacher Head of School	After each trip